A meaningful ontology of location From (37.852,-122.252) to home and back again

Re-iteration of the concept: the dwindling of devices that don't have geolocation and how the numbers aren't very useful to me.

Agenda:

Look at existing work at different levels of "meaning".

Describe some of the uses that will drive how we use these different concepts.





Neogeography



Personal data

Light-weight tools

Good enough

Military and political

Traditional GIS tools

Precise

Neogeographers as opposed to, jokingly, paleogeographers. Differences are not well-defined (besides age), but:

I'm coming from the Neogeography side, both since that's my background and since I'm focused on the personal data aspect, but I'll try to integrate some "paleo" concepts.

The current state of location on the Web: lat/lon

- W3C Geolocation
- GeoRSS
- geo: URI scheme
- Geo microformat
- iPhone CoreLocation
- Win7 Location Platform

The current state of location on the Web: lat/lon

Why?

- Unambiguous
- Convenient for data storage
- No interoperability problems
- Obvious how to use it

Not actually unambiguous (World Geodetic System 1984) but in mailing list discussions, often neogeographers don't even realize it could be ambiguous.

Are coordinates meaningful?

Personal ontology

At home

Geographic ontology

Named location / civic address

In Berkeley, in California, near San Francisco

3141 College Ave, Berkeley

Latitude/longitude

(37.852,-122.252)

Are they meaningful? Different levels of meaning which I'll roughly sketch out here.

But keep in mind that this ordering of meaning bottom to top might be reversed depending on your point of view.

Gazetteers

"Vocabulary control is the *sine qua non* of information organization." – Elaine Svenonius

- United Nations Conferences on the Standardization of Geographical Names (UNCSGN)
- ISO 3166
- GeoNames
- Geocoding & reverse-geocoding

We talked last week about these large books of geographic names for atlases along with coordinates.

Sometimes we just need to specify the spelling (vocabulary control): a big issue in the developing world like Thailand.

A more recently developed tool is GeoNames: an open gazetteer with millions of names. And Yahoo!/Google/etc. provide Geocoding/Reverse-geocoding services to translate between coordinates and these names.

Gazetteers?



Games, and how they work.

Useful for self-reflection.

Are these gazetteers as well? In a way, they're building up their own list of authoritative named locations which is one of their main challenges and their main outputs, despite being a game.

Geographic ontologies What is an ontology?

I assume that most of us have an idea of what "ontology" means in the information organization context, but just to re-cap (and from a philosophical bent): an ontology is a way of dividing up the world (or a particular domain) into different types and describing the relationship between those types.

In geography, this is pretty clear, cities are parts of states are parts of countries. But also, I can be within this zipcode which borders other zipcodes, but also within this county which borders other counties.

Not all geographic ontologies are straightforward -- neighborhoods and the like have fuzzy borders and different meanings to different people.



- Where-on-Earth IDs (WOEIDs)
- Hierarchy of official and informal places
- Relationships to neighbors, parents, children
- Freely available for download and web services

Useful for Geographic Information Retrieval: expanding queries

Personal ontologies

- At home, at school, at work
- On Bart, on the bus, on a plane
- With my co-workers, my friends, my family
- Where we first met, where I was at this time yesterday

Use cases

- self-reflection
- sharing and privacy
- contextual triggers



Matching up use cases to those different levels of meaning What features does an ontology need to support these?

As a final project, build a version of this ontology, and services to contribute and consume

Questions? npdoty@ischool.berkeley.edu http://npdoty.name